



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,639	07/10/2006	Petrus Martinus Leonardus Franciscus Bekk	F3332(C)	1939
201	7590	07/09/2008	EXAMINER	
UNILEVER PATENT GROUP			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
800 SYLVAN AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AG West S. Wing			3744	
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/09/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/550,639	BEKS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William E. Tapolcai	3744	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20080611</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 1,847,544 to Weber. Weber discloses the claimed invention of a display and dispensing assembly including a freezer cabinet 2 and a housing 1 external of the cabinet 2 for storing the products until they can be displayed in cabinet 2. Heat transfer means 18 and 20 are provided for the cabinet and housing. However, Weber does not disclose that the temperature of the housing 1 is higher than the temperature of the cabinet 2. The relative temperatures of the housing and cabinet are considered to be a matter of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. No criticality or unexpected results are seen or have been disclosed for the recitation of the temperature of the housing being higher than the temperature of the cabinet. The recitation of the assembly being removable from the cabinet is considered to be a mere statement of intended use and not a positive structural limitation. Furthermore, there is no indication in Weber that the assembly cannot be removed from the cabinet. The assembly is considered to be capable of being removed from the cabinet. Regarding claim 13, the display cabinet is capable of being separated from and returned to the storage cabinet as needed or desired.

3. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,185,951 to Lane et al. Weber discloses the claimed invention except for the heat transfer means comprising pipes through which the fluid is pumped. Lane et al teaches a cabinet with cooling means comprising pipes 12 and 15 through which the heat transfer fluid is pumped by pump 18. Thus, it would be obvious to substitute, for the heat exchangers 16-20 of Weber, pipes as taught in Lane et al, to yield the predictable result that the heat exchange assembly is easy to manufacture.

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is still not on a separate sheet, with nothing else added. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 11, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remarks regarding the distinguishing features of claim 1 over Weber are not understood. Weber clearly discloses a combination display and dispensing cabinet 2 which is combined with a freezer cabinet 1 used for storage of the products to be displayed in cabinet 2.

6. Regarding the relative temperatures of the display and freezer cabinets, Applicant has not demonstrated any criticality or unexpected results for the recitation of the storage cabinet temperature being higher than the display cabinet temperature. One of ordinary skill in the refrigeration art is certainly capable of operating the refrigeration system of Weber to provide a higher temperature for the storage cabinet than the display cabinet or vice versa.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William E. Tapolcai/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

wet
June 30, 2008